Evidence for Second-Phonon Nuclear Wobbling
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The nucleus 163Lu has been populated through the reaction 139La(29Si, 5n) with a beam energy of 157 MeV. Three triaxial, strongly deformed (TSD) bands have been observed with very similar rotational properties. The first excited TSD band has earlier been assigned as a one-phonon wobbling excitation built on the lowest-lying (yrast) TSD band. The large B(E2)out/B(E2)in value obtainable for one of four observed transitions between the second and first excited TSD bands is in good agreement with particle-rotor calculations for a two-phonon wobbling excitation.
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The ground states of some nuclei are spherical, while others are deformed. The most important deformations around the ground states of nuclei are known to be of axial symmetric quadrupole type, with no clear evidence for the presence of axial asymmetry, i.e., triaxiality. On the other hand, at high spins one expects a considerable deviation from the axial symmetric shape which is naturally caused by the Coriolis and centrifugal forces.

The rotational motion of a triaxial deformed nucleus with three different moments of inertia, \( J_x > J_y \neq J_z \) induces in the high-spin limit, \( I \gg 1\hbar \), a sequence of wobbling bands described by the energy, \( E_R(I, n_w) = I(I + 1)/2J_x + \hbar \omega_w(n_w + 1/2) \), where \( n_w \) is the wobbling phonon number, and \( \hbar \omega_w = \hbar \omega_{rot}\sqrt{(J_x - J_y)(J_x - J_z)/(J_x J_y)} \) with \( \hbar \omega_{rot} = 1/J_x \) [1]. A family of wobbling bands is expected to have very similar intrinsic structure. Each band, characterized by the quantum number \( n_w = 1, 2, \ldots \), can be seen as a wobbling phonon excitation built on the yrast \( n_w = 0 \) band. A characteristic signature of the wobbling motion is the occurrence of \( \Delta I = \pm 1\hbar \) interband transitions, \( (n_w = i) \rightarrow (n_w = i - 1) \) with \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \), possessing large values of \( B(E2)_{out} \), in competition with \( B(E2)_{in} \) of the inband \( \Delta I = 2\hbar \) transitions. The quantal phonon rule for transition probability implies that \( B(E2, n_w = 2 \rightarrow n_w = 1) = 2B(E2, n_w = 1 \rightarrow n_w = 0) \) and that transitions with \( \Delta n_w = 2 \) are forbidden. The observation of wobbling phonon excitations is uniquely related to the triaxiality of the system. In the \( N \sim 94 \) and \( Z \sim 71 \) region “ultimate cranker” (UC) calculations [2], based on a modified harmonic oscillator potential, predict stable triaxial minima in the total potential energy surface with \( (\epsilon, \gamma) \approx (0.38, \pm 20^\circ) \) for all pairs of parity and signature, \( (\pi, \alpha) \). In 163Lu two excited triaxial strongly deformed (TSD) bands have previously been observed to decay to the yrast \( \pi t_{13/2} \) TSD band (TSD1) [3]. The first excited TSD band (TSD2), that decays to TSD1 via \( 9 \Delta I = 1\hbar \) transitions, has recently been assigned as a one-phonon wobbling excitation built on the yrast TSD band [4]. The wobbling degree of freedom applies to the rotational angular momentum \( \tilde{R} \) of the triaxial core while the angular momentum \( \tilde{j} \) of the \( t_{13/2} \) proton remains fully aligned. The firm evidence for the interpretation of TSD2 as a one-phonon wobbling excitation was provided by the electromagnetic transition matrix elements of the connecting transitions between TSD2 and TSD1 in comparison with calculations. In particular, the large \( B(E2)_{out}/B(E2)_{in} \) values are not consistent with a configuration based on the signature partner or a possible pair of two-quasineutrons coupled to the \( t_{13/2} \) quasiproton. In our previous paper [3] another excited band, TSD3, was found to decay to TSD2 through \( 5 \Delta I = 2\hbar \) transitions. TSD3 was also observed to be in coincidence with TSD2 but no transitions could be firmly established between the two bands. Based on this observation TSD3 was considered a possible candidate for a two-phonon wobbling excitation also built on TSD1. The observation of \( \Delta I = 1\hbar \) transitions from TSD3 to TSD2 with large \( B(E2) \) values, is crucial for the assignment in the present work of TSD3 as a two-phonon wobbling
excitation based on a comparison with particle-rotor calculations.

To find and investigate the properties of the transitions from TSD3 to TSD2 the nucleus $^{163}$Lu was populated through the fusion-evaporation reaction $^{139}$La($^{29}$Si, 5$n$) with a beam energy of 157 MeV provided by the Vivitron accelerator at IReS, Strasbourg. Approximately $6 \times 10^8$ γ-ray coincidence events were collected with the Euroball IV detector array [5] consisting of 15 cluster, 25 clover, and 27 tapered Compton-suppressed Ge detectors together with a BGO inner ball used as a multiplicity filter. The data were sorted into a $\gamma^3$ coincidence matrix for coincidence analysis [6]. For the analysis of directional correlation of $\gamma$ rays from the orientated states (DCO) and angular distributions, the data was sorted into three types of 2D matrices with the different detector angle combinations on the two axes, (all angles vs 90° positions), (all angles vs 25° positions) and (90° vs 25° positions) in a similar way as in Refs. [3,4].

The three previously known TSD bands with relative populations of $\sim 10$, 3, and 1.2% of yrast are shown in Fig. 1 together with the normal deformed (ND) states to which they decay. TSD3 could be extended by one transition, now reaching spin $85/2h$. Four $\Delta \Omega = 1h$ transitions have been found between TSD3 and TSD2 in the spin range 45/2 to 33/2h and eight $\Delta \Omega = 2h$ transitions have been firmly established between TSD3 and TSD1 covering the spin range from 61/2 to 33/2h. Spectra documenting the decayout transitions of TSD3 are displayed in Fig. 2. In the upper spectrum the strongest transition at 476 keV is clearly seen whereas the 445 keV transition is contaminated with a normal deformed $\gamma$ ray of the same energy. The two weaker decayout transitions at 411 and 377 keV are also indicated.

In order to determine the multipolarity of the decayout transitions from TSD3 a spin alignment of $\sigma/I = 0.25 \pm 0.02$ was deduced using the same method as described in Refs. [3,4]. The $\sigma/I$ ratio remains constant in the spin range from 61/2 to 29/2h. Stretched $E2$ character (disregarding $M2$) has been assigned to the transitions from TSD3 to TSD1 based on the angular distribution ratios, $W(25^\circ)/W(90^\circ)$, and DCO-ratios shown in Table I. For the transitions from TSD3 to TSD2, $W(25^\circ)/W(90^\circ)$ and DCO-ratios could only be measured for the 476 keV $\gamma$ ray.

![FIG. 1. Partial level scheme of $^{163}$Lu.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_\gamma$ (keV)</th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$W(25^\circ)/W(90^\circ)$</th>
<th>DCO-ratio</th>
<th>$B(E2)<em>{\text{in}}/B(E2)</em>{\text{in}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1018.1</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.021 ± 0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1070.8</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.022 ± 0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1119.2</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.018 ± 0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1165.3</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1209.5</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.022 ± 0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1253.8</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.020 ± 0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aGated on stretched $E2$ transitions.*
The results are given in Table II and are in good agreement with expectations for a mixed $E2/M1$ $\Delta I = \hbar$ transition. From these results spin and parity of the states in TSD3 have been firmly determined. The two solutions for the mixing ratio, $\delta$, each obtained as an average of the values extracted from the angular distribution and DCO-ratios are also listed in Table II. A linear polarization analysis of the 476 keV $\gamma$ ray was impossible due to low statistics. A selection of one of the two experimental $\delta$ values could therefore not be made on experimental grounds.

Using the particle-rotor model in which one $i_{13/2}$ quasiproton is coupled to the core of triaxial shape spectroscopic properties of the states in the yrast region have been studied [7]. When the parameters are chosen so as to be appropriate for the high-spin TSD bands of $^{163}$Lu, one finds that four bands (the lowest and second lowest favored bands, $f1$ and $f2$, and the lowest and third lowest unfavored bands, $u1$ and $u3$) out of the lowest-lying six bands (three $\alpha_f$ and three $\alpha_u$) are identified to form a family of wobbling bands. As seen from Fig. 3, the nature of this family of bands can be found partly by analyzing the collective angular momentum $\tilde{R}$ of the core ($\tilde{R} + \tilde{j} = \tilde{I}$) and its $x$ component $R_x$. Namely, the magnitudes of $\tilde{R}$ for a given $I$ are almost the same for those bands, while the direction of $\tilde{R}$ is tilted from the $x$ axis more and more, as one goes from the yrast band $f1$ ($n_w = 0$) to higher-lying bands, $u1$ ($n_w = 1$) and $f2$ ($n_w = 2$). The calculated particle alignments for a given $I$ are very similar for the bands $f1$, $u1$, and $f2$ [7].

In Fig. 4 the experimental dynamic moment of inertia and alignment of TSD2 and TSD3 relative to TSD1 are shown in the upper and lower panel, respectively. The dynamic moment of inertia of TSD2 and TSD3 are almost identical over a wide spin range. Also the relative alignments behave in the same way as a function of frequency with a difference of only $\sim 0.1\hbar$ between the two bands. Altogether this strongly suggests that TSD2 and TSD3 belong to the same family of bands with a very similar intrinsic structure. Based on the striking similarities between TSD3 and TSD2 the most likely value of $\delta$ for the transition from TSD3 to TSD2 is $\delta = -3.60^{+0.97}_{-1.93}$, very close to the previously found value for the transitions from TSD2 to TSD1 [3], see Table II. This solution corresponds to $(92.8^{+4.0}_{-5.5})\%$ $E2$ and $(7.2^{+5.5}_{-4.0})\%$ $M1$ resulting in a large $B(E2)_{out}/B(E2)_{in} = 0.51 \pm 0.13$, and $B(M1)_{out}/B(E2)_{in} = 0.006^{+0.006}_{-0.003}(\mu_N^2/e^2b^4)$.

The experimental $B(E2)_{out}/B(E2)_{in}$ values for the $\Delta I = \hbar$ transitions from TSD2 to TSD1 and TSD3 to Table II. Experimental values of branching ratio $\lambda$, angular distribution ratio $W(25°)/W(90°)$, DCO ratio, mixing ratio $\delta$, and $B(E2)_{out}/B(E2)_{in}$ for $\Delta I = \hbar$ transitions. Upper part: The 476 keV transition from TSD3 to TSD2. Lower part: Average values for transitions from TSD2 to TSD1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$W(25°)/W(90°)$</th>
<th>DCO-ratio</th>
<th>$\langle \delta \rangle$</th>
<th>$R(E2)<em>{out}/R(E2)</em>{in}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.14 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.11</td>
<td>$-3.60^{+0.97}_{-1.93}$</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.46 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.03</td>
<td>$-3.10^{+0.36}_{-0.44}$</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Gated on stretched $E2$ transitions.
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The alternative solution for the mixing ratio,

TSD3 has an intrinsic structure quite different from that of TSD1 and TSD2, which in turn would lead to values of alignment and moment of inertia different from those observed in TSD1 and TSD2.

In conclusion, the second excited TSD band (TSD3) in

has been connected to the first excited one-phonon wobbling excitation, TSD2, by 4 \( \Delta I = 1 \hbar \) transitions. Spin and parity of TSD3 have been firmly determined. The

value has been measured for one of

and found to be consistent with theoretical particle-rotor calculations for a two-phonon wobbling excitation. TSD3 has therefore been assigned as a two-phonon wobbling excitation built on the yrast TSD band fulfilling the phonon rule. The nucleus has revealed its exploitation of the quantal wobbling degree of freedom. This proves the triaxial deformation of the nucleus and adds a new dimension to the description of a rotating nucleus.
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